

Appl. No. 10/076,357
Amdt. dated 04/25/2006
Reply to Office Action of 01/03/2006

IN THE DRAWINGS:

In Figs. 1, 2, 3 and 4, please add the label PROR ART.

REMARKS

Claims 1 - 20 are pending in the present Application. In the above-identified Office Action, the Examiner objected to the DRAWINGS as failing to comply with 37 C.F.R. 1.84(p)(5). The Examiner further rejected Claims 1, 2, 6, 7, 11, 12, 16 and 17 under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as being anticipated by Potter. Claims 3 - 5, 8 - 10, 13 - 15 and 18 - 20 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Potter in view of Fesas, Jr.

The Examiner objected to Figs. 1, 2, 3 and 4 because they did not include a PRIOR ART label. Applicants have amended the figures to include the PRIOR ART label. Consequently, attached please find replacement sheets that include amended Figs. 1, 2, 3 and 4.

Further, in the Response to the first Office Action, Applicants had provided a replacement sheet that includes amended Fig. 5. However, it seems, based on statements in the Final Office Action, that the Examiner was not made aware of the presence of the replacement sheet. Hence, attached herein please find also another replacement sheet that includes amended Fig. 5.

Applicants intend on appealing the substantive rejection of the claims in the present application. Therefore, arguments against the rejection of the claims will not be proffered in this Response.

Entry of this amendment is respectfully requested.

Respectfully Submitted

By: 

Volel Emile
Attorney for Applicants
Registration No. 39,969
(512) 306-7969